

1
2
3
4

5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7
8 LIEM HIEU LE,
9 Plaintiff,
10 v.
11 CITY OF PITTSBURG,
12 Defendant.

No. C 08-727 SI

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S
MOTION TO DISMISS WITH LEAVE
TO AMEND**

14 Defendant's motion to dismiss the complaint is scheduled for a hearing on July 11, 2008.
15 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the Court determines that the matter is appropriate for resolution
16 without oral argument, and VACATES the hearing. For the reasons set forth below, the Court GRANTS
17 defendant's motion to dismiss the complaint with leave to amend. If plaintiff wishes to amend the
18 complaint, plaintiff must file an amended complaint no later than **July 18, 2008**.

19
20

DISCUSSION

21 Plaintiff filed a one page complaint alleging that “[t]he discrimination, and the abuse of power,
22 and the negligence of employees of defendant City of Pittsburg caused the foreclosure of plaintiff's
23 properties, known as 430 E. 9th St., 415 E. 9th St. and 1160 York St. in Pittsburg, California.”
24 Complaint ¶ 4. The complaint does not contain any other factual allegations regarding the
25 circumstances surrounding the foreclosures, nor does the complaint elaborate on the alleged
26 discrimination. The complaint does not allege a claim under any federal or state law, and seeks damages
27 in the amount of \$2,000,000.

28 Defendant moves to dismiss the complaint or for a more definite statement. Defendant correctly

1 argues that the complaint does not identify a basis for this Court's jurisdiction. The Court advises
2 plaintiff that in order to file a lawsuit in federal court, there must be a basis for federal court jurisdiction,
3 for example if the plaintiff is alleging a claim under a federal statute.

4 Similarly, the complaint must contain factual allegations supporting plaintiff's claims; it is
5 insufficient simply to allege "discrimination" without any supporting facts. Although somewhat
6 unclear, it appears from plaintiff's opposition that his claim(s) relate to inspectors who issued code
7 violations on plaintiff's properties. The Court informs plaintiff that these facts on their own do not
8 appear to give rise to a claim under federal law. In addition, plaintiff is advised that a local government
9 may not be sued based on the acts of an employee; instead, in order to bring a claim against a public
10 entity such as the City of Pittsburgh, plaintiff must allege that the employee acted pursuant to an official
11 policy or custom. *See Monell v. Dep't of Soc. Servs.*, 436 U.S. 658, 694 (1978).

12

13 CONCLUSION

14 For the foregoing reasons, the Court GRANTS defendant's motion to dismiss the complaint with
15 leave to amend. *See Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1128 (9th Cir. 2000). (Docket No. 4). If plaintiff
16 wishes to file an amended complaint, the complaint shall (1) state the basis for federal jurisdiction; (2)
17 specifically identify the claims that plaintiff is asserting (for example, if plaintiff is suing under a federal
18 or state statute, the complaint shall identify that statute); (3) state, as clearly as possible, the facts giving
19 rise to the complaint, including the dates upon which the events occurred; and (4) state the relief that
20 plaintiff seeks. **Any amended complaint must be filed by July 18, 2008.¹**

21

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: July 9, 2008



SUSAN ILLSTON
United States District Judge

24

25

26

27

28

¹ If plaintiff amends the complaint and cures the deficiencies described in this order, defendant may renew its arguments related to plaintiff's bankruptcy filing.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LIEM HIEU LE,

Case Number: CV08-00727 SI

Plaintiff,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

v.

CITY OF PITTSBURG et al,

Defendant.

/

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on July 9, 2008, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Liem Hieu Le
713 Caskey Street
Bay Point, CA 94565

Dated: July 9, 2008

Richard W. Wiking, Clerk
By: Tracy Sutton, Deputy Clerk

